

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/796,431	03/09/2004	Oscar Lee Avant	38494-00146DIV 1868 EXAMINER	
23504	7590 04/14/2006			
WEISS & MOY PC			JASTRZAB, KRISANNE MARIE	
4204 NORTH BROWN AVENUE SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1744	-
		•	DATE MAILED: 04/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/796,431	AVANT, OSCAR LEE				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Krisanne Jastrzab	1744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - External control contro	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ARANDONE.	l. ely filed the mailing date of this of				
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Fee</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims	•					
5) ☐ 6) ☒ 7) ☐ 8) ☐ Applicati 9) ☒ 10) ☒	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 07 February 2006 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Checken	election requirement. c. c. c. c. displace and accepted or b) ☑ objected are also be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).			
12) 🔲 ,	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents		-(d) or (f).				
	Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical copies.	have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	d in this National	Stage			
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inforn	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pate 6) Other:	te	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/796,431 Page 2

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The amendment filed 2/7/2006 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: the replacement paragraph denoted (0019) does not correspond to the language of previous paragraph (0019) regarding the door (and some of the changes are not underlined as properly required), further the replacement of paragraph (0024) is improper because it would change what was previously a brief description of the drawing into detailed description. It is believed that the replacement paragraph should be for (0030) not (0024). It is noted that Applicant supplied an "excerpt" from the provisional document as evidencery support for the change to paragraph (0019), however, that excerpt does not correspond to the provisional document in the Patent office records.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Drawings

The drawings were received on 2/7/2006. These drawings are not approved because the recitation of "Non-public access door" added to Fig 1 is considered new matter in conjunction with the objection above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1744

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claims 1, 3, 6-7, 14-15 and 19, "decontaminate" should be changed to —decontaminant--.

With respect to claim 1, the step of "determining" in this claim is found to be vague and indefinite because of the recitation regarding the decontaminant. It reads as though the presence of the decontaminant is determined in the exhaust gas flow along with that of the biohazard. Clarification is required.

With respect to claim 3, this claim is found to be vague and indefinite because it fails to clearly further limit the claim from which it depends. Claim 1 is a method for mail decontamination and a decontaminant is introduced into the bag. Clarification is required.

With respect to claim 14, "the flexible bag" lacks proper antecedent basis and this claim is found to be vague and indefinite because of the recitation regarding the decontaminant. It reads as though the presence of the decontaminant is determined in the exhaust gas flow along with that of the biohazard. Clarification is required.

With respect to claim 18, "the public mailbox" lacks proper antecedent basis.

With respect to claim 19, "the flexible bag" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Art Unit: 1744

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed 2/7/2006, with respect to Rosenblatt have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-6 has been withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 14 and 19 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 2-13, 15-18 and 20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the closest prior art, namely Rosenblatt, Picard et al., and Edwards et al., fail to teach or suggest the a method of decontamination providing a flexible bag having at least a first valve through which gas from the interior of the bag is exhausted, filtered and tested for the presence of a biohazard, with the introduction of a decontaminant thereafter.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Page 5

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Picard et al., teach filtration of exhaust gas and sterilization, but lack any teaching of determining the presence of a biohazard. It is noted that Applicant provided a showing of common ownership in the parent application thereby eliminating Day 2003/0106929 A1 as an applicable reference.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krisanne Jastrzab whose telephone number is 571-272-1279. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 6:00am-4:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,431

Art Unit: 1744

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on 571-272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Krisanne Jastrzato Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744 Page 6

April 11, 2006